UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03-md-1570 (GBD)(SN) ECF Case
This document relates to: Thomas Burnett, Sr., et al. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran, et al.	15-cv-9903 (GBD)(SN) ECF Case

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR ENTRY OF PARTIAL FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF PETER F. RAIMONDI

(**BURNETT 33**)

For the reasons set forth below and in the accompanying declaration of John M. Eubanks ("Eubanks Declaration"), Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, by and through her counsel, Motley Rice LLC, respectfully moves this Court for an Order awarding her (1) compensatory damages for pain and suffering in the same per estate amount previously awarded by this Court regarding other estates of decedents killed in the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001; (2) economic-loss damages in accordance with the determinations provided by Plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Stan V. Smith; (3) prejudgment interest at the rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually for the period from September 11, 2001 until the date of the judgment; (4) permission for Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, to seek punitive damages or other damages at a later date; and (5) for all other similarly situated *Burnett* Plaintiffs to submit applications for damages awards in later stages, to the extent such awards have not previously been addressed.

Plaintiff sued the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps, and the Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran (collectively, "the Iran Defendants") in connection with the death of her husband in the 9/11 Attacks. On December 1, 2016, all plaintiffs in the action

Burnett, et al. v. Islamic Rep. of Iran, et al., Case No. 15-cv-9903 (GBD)(SN) ("Burnett"), moved for judgment as to liability only. 15-cv-9903, ECF Nos. 65, 66, amended on December 6, 2016, 15-cv-9903 ECF Nos. 68, 69. On January 31, 2017, the Court entered judgment as to liability only against the Iran Defendants. See 15-cv-9903, ECF No. 85. Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, was included in this judgment and relis on that judgment as to liability only for this request for default judgment as to her claims of pain and suffering and economic loss arising from the loss of her husband in the 9/11 attacks. Different subsets of the plaintiffs in the Burnett case previously requested and were granted similar judgments.

I. Procedural Background

A. Related Cases

Relying on evidence and arguments¹ submitted by plaintiffs in this consolidated multidistrict litigation arising out of the 9/11 Attacks, this Court on December 22, 2011, and again on August 31, 2015, granted Orders of Judgment on Liability in favor of the *Havlish*, *Ashton*, *O'Neill*, *Federal Insurance*, and *Hoglan* groups of plaintiffs against the Iran Defendants (*See* ECF Nos. 2516, 3014, 3016, 3020, 3020-23). After granting the *Havlish* Order of Default Judgment on Liability, this Court considered the issue of damages suffered by the *Havlish* plaintiffs and their decedents. Upon the submissions of the *Havlish* plaintiffs, on October 3, 2012, this Court found, among other things, that "Plaintiffs may recover for[, inter alia,] solatium . . . in an action under Section 1605A. 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(c)(4). In such an action, . . . family members can recover

¹ In each of the Orders of Judgment regarding plaintiffs' claims against Iran in the *In re Terrorist Attacks* on *September 11*, 2001 multidistrict litigation, the Court premised its determination "[u]pon consideration of the evidence submitted by the Plaintiffs in filings with this Court on May 19, 2011, July 13, 2011, and August 19, 2011, and the evidence presented at the December 15, 2011, hearing on liability, together with the entire record in this case." ECF Nos. 2516, 3014, 3016, 3020-22; *see also* ECF No. 3023 (substantially similar language).

solatium for their emotional injury; and all plaintiffs can recover punitive damages." ECF No. 2623 at 2-3, quoting *Valore v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 700 F. Supp. 2d 52, 83 (D.D.C. 2010). This Court also found that the following solatium awards for family members are appropriate, as an upward departure from the framework in *Estate of Heiser v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 466 F. Supp. 2d 229 (D.D.C. 2006).

Relationship of Decedent	Solatium Award
Spouse	\$12,500,000
Parent	\$8,500,000
Child	\$8,500,000
Sibling	\$4,250,000

ECF No. 2623 at 4. The Court has applied the same solatium values to claims of other solatium plaintiffs in the *Burnett/Iran* case (ECF Nos. 3666, 4023, 4126, 4146, 5061, 5062, 5087, 5092, 5138, 5151, 5356, 5848, 5975, 5979, 6034, 6035, 6037, 6038, 6039, 7188, 7287, 8233, and 8310) and other solatium plaintiffs in other cases coordinated in the *In re Terrorist Attack on September* 11, 2001 multidistrict litigation. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 3175 at 2; 3300 at 1; 3358 at 9; 3363 at 16; 3399; and 3977 at 7.

In that same decision in *Havlish*, this Court also found that Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages under the FSIA in an amount of 3.44 multiplied by their compensatory damages award. ECF No. 2623 at 5. The Court has applied that 3.44 multiplier also to judgments in the *Ashton* case. *See* ECF No. 3175, at 3 (Report and Recommendation to apply 3.44 punitive multiplier); ECF No. 3229 at 1 (Order adopting in its entirety Report and Recommendation to apply 3.44 punitive multiplier). The Court applied the 3.44 punitive multiplier to the compensatory awards previously awarded in *Burnett/Iran*. ECF No. 3666. However, in *Hoglan*, another case in this multidistrict litigation, Magistrate Judge Netburn recommended that the plaintiffs' request for punitive damages be denied without prejudice. ECF Nos. 3358 at 11-16 and 3363 at 28. Judge

Daniels adopted Judge Netburn's Reports and Recommendations in their entirety. ECF Nos. 3383 at 2 and 3384 at 6.

In the *Havlish* decision, this Court also found that prejudgment interest was warranted for the Plaintiffs' solatium damages. ECF No. 2623 at 5. The *Havlish* plaintiffs sought application of a 4.96% interest rate, which the magistrate judge recommended (ECF No. 2619 at 13-14) and Judge Daniels adopted (ECF No. 2623 at 5). In *Ashton*, plaintiffs sought, and the magistrate judge recommended, application of a statutory nine percent simple interest rate for prejudgment interest. ECF No. 3175 at 7-8. Judge Daniels adopted the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and has applied the nine percent interest rate in multiple instances in the *Ashton* and *Bauer* matters. *See* ECF Nos. 3229 at 2; 3300 at 1; 3341 at 1. However, in *Hoglan*, Magistrate Judge Netburn recommended that the 4.96 percent rate for prejudgment interest should be applied to all of the solatium claims, ECF Nos. 3358 at 17-20 and 3363 at 28-29. Judge Daniels adopted Judge Netburn's *Hoglan* Report in its entirety and applied an interest rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually. ECF Nos. 3383 at 2 and 3384 at 6. The Court applied that interest rate, 4.96 percent per annum, to the awards to other plaintiffs in *Burnett*.

B. Burnett, et al. v. Iran Defendants

The *Burnett* plaintiffs filed suit on December 18, 2015, against the Iran Defendants. Service on the Central Bank was effectuated on March 18, 2016, and on Iran and the IRGC on September 14, 2016. 15-cv-9903, ECF No. 67 at ¶¶ 3-4. At Plaintiffs' request, the Clerk of the Court issued a Certificate of Default as to the Iran Defendants on December 5, 2016. 15-cv-9903, ECF No. 67. On December 1, 2016, Plaintiffs requested judgment as to liability against the Iran Defendants, 15-cv-9903, ECF Nos. 65, 66, which application was amended on December 6, 2016 (15-cv-9903, ECF Nos. 68, 69), after the Clerk of the Court issued a Certificate of Default on December 5, 2016.

15-cv-9903, ECF No. 67. The Court granted judgment as to liability against the Iran defendants in favor of all plaintiffs on January 31, 2017. 15-cv-9903, ECF No. 85. The Court has since granted numerous orders of judgment to different subsets of *Burnett* plaintiffs who filed for entry of partial final default judgments.

Lenore Raimondi, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, is a *Burnett* Plaintiffs who now respectfully requests that this Court grant her an Order awarding her (1) compensatory damages for pain and suffering in the same per estate amount previously awarded by this Court regarding other estates of decedents killed in the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001; (2) economic-loss damages in accordance with the determinations provided by Plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Stan V. Smith; (3) prejudgment interest at the rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually for the period from September 11, 2001 until the date of the judgment; (4) permission for Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, to seek punitive damages or other damages at a later date; and (5) for all other similarly situated *Burnett* Plaintiffs to submit applications for damages awards in later stages, to the extent such awards have not previously been addressed.

II. Damages Under § 1605A

Section 1605A of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act ("FSIA") creates an exception to sovereign immunity allowing a foreign state to be held accountable for acts of terrorism or the provision of material support or resources for acts of terrorism where the acts or provision of support or resources were engaged in by an official, employee, or agent of the foreign state while acting within the scope of his or her office, employment, or agency. 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(1). The statute specifies that damages are available "for personal injury or death," 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(a)(1) and (c)(4), and include "economic damages, solatium, pain and suffering, and

punitive damages." 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(c)(4). Courts addressing the damages available under the statute have held that, among other damages recoverable, "family members can recover solatium for their emotional injury; and all plaintiffs can recover punitive damages. ECF No. 2623 at 2-3, quoting *Valore v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 700 F. Supp. 2d 52, 83 (D.D.C. 2010).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1605A, Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, is entitled to compensation for her late-husband's pain and suffering and economic damages arising from his death in addition to prejudgment interest.

A. Economic Damages

The FSIA specifically provides for economic damages. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1605A(c). The economic damages provision is "designed to compensate [a] decedent's heirs-at-law for economic losses which result from [the] decedent's premature death." *Flatow v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 999 F.Supp. 1, 27 (D.D.C. 1998). Accordingly, "the beneficiaries of each decedent's estate [are]...entitled to recover the present value of economic damages, including lost wages that the decedents might reasonably have been expected to earn but for their wrongful deaths." *Valore v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 700 F. Supp. 2d 52, 81-82 (D.D.C. 2010), citing *Heiser*, 466 F.Supp.2d 229. Thus, for example, Judge Royce C. Lamberth of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, in a series of decisions issuing final judgments against the Islamic Republic of Iran under the FSIA, has held Iran "liable for the economic damages caused to decedents' estates." *Roth v. Islamic Republic of Iran*, 78 F. Supp. 3d 379, 399-400 (D.D.C. 2015), quoting *Valore*, 700 F.Supp.2d at 78. ²

² In adopting this estate-accumulations calculation, Judge Lamberth recognized that case law under the FSIA was "develop[ing]...a federal standard" and looked to the law of the District of Columbia, which it concluded was "an appropriate model" to adopt and which calculated economic damages as the loss of accretions to the decedent's estate. *Roth*, 78 F.Supp.3d at 82.

Previously, this Court awarded economic damages in each Havlish case for the "economic losses stemming from the wrongful death of the decedent[.]" See ECF No. 2623 at 2-3. In doing so, it adopted the economic loss calculations set forth in the *Havlish* plaintiffs' economic expert reports. Plaintiff here has retained the services of the same expert economist, Dr. Stan V. Smith, to submit his extensive economic analysis detailing the significant economic losses suffered by the Raimondi family in this case. Exhibit B to the Eubanks Declaration is being submitted to this Court under seal due to the significant amount of sensitive financial data contained therein.³ Dr. Smith has opined utilizing his standard methodology on the economic losses sustained by the Raimondi family. His report outlines, introduces, and delves into the expert methodology that he has employed while also providing a breakdown of the summary of both past and future losses for the following categories: (1) loss of wages and benefits; (2) loss of pension benefits (if they exist); (3) loss of housekeeping and home management services; and (4) loss of value of life, also known as the loss of enjoyment of life. As Dr. Smith states in his report, "All opinions ... are rendered in accordance with generally accepted standards within the field of economics and are expressed to a reasonable degree of economic certainty."

Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F.

Raimondi, respectfully asks this Court to award economic damages as set forth in Dr. Smith's expert report.

B. Pain and Suffering Damages

Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi seeks pain-and-suffering damages arising from the death of her husband on September 11, 2001. This Court previously assessed the entitlement and value of pain and suffering awards to estates

³ Magistrate Judge Netburn has permitted the filing under seal of these materials given their sensitive contents. *See* ECF No. 5716; *see also* ECF No. 7963 (permitting these reports to be filed under seal via ECF)

for their decedents' deaths in this litigation. *See* ECF No. 2618 at 7-9. For the reasons articulated by this Court in the context of the *Havlish* case, Ms. Raimondi respectfully requests that the Court grant an award for Peter F. Raimondi's pain and suffering in the amount of \$2,000,000. *See id.* at 9; ECF No. 2624 at 1, 3-4 (Judge Daniels awarding \$2,000,000 per estate in *Havlish*).

C. Punitive Damages

In light of the Court's decision in related litigation to defer determination of punitive damage issues until a later stage of the litigation, Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi herein requests permission to address the issue of punitive damages at a later date. *See, e.g.*, ECF No. 3666 (Judge Daniels order in *Burnett/Iran*, authorizing other plaintiffs to make an application for punitive damages at a later date consistent with any future rulings of the Court).

D. Prejudgment Interest

An award of prejudgment interest is within the sound discretion of a trial court and is warranted when plaintiffs are delayed in recovering compensation for non-economic injuries caused by acts of terrorism. *See Baker v. Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahirya*, 775 F. Supp. 2d 48, 86 (D.D.C. 2011). This Court awarded the *Havlish* plaintiffs prejudgment interest at a rate of 4.96% on their pain and suffering damages awards, to be calculated from September 11, 2001 until the date of judgment (ECF 2619 at 13-14). This Court, recognizing that prejudgment interest was appropriate in cases such as this case, adopted the magistrate judge's reasoning, finding that an award of prejudgment interest was appropriate and accepting the rate of 4.96%, as proposed by the *Havlish* plaintiffs' expert.

After the *Havlish* award, plaintiffs in *Ashton* and *Bauer* proposed, and the Court agreed, that prejudgment simple interest at the New York State statutory rate of nine percent per annum was appropriate in cases where the injuries arose in New York and the prejudgment interest used

in *Havlish*, 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually, should be reserved for only those cases where the injuries arose in other states. *See* ECF Nos. 3229 at 2; 3300 at 1; 3341 at 1.

The Second Circuit has held that New York State's statutory prejudgment interest rate should apply to the damages awarded to World Trade Center complex leaseholders in their litigation against American Airlines and United Airlines brought under the federal Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act ("ATSSSA"). World Trade Farmers Market, Inc. v. American Airlines, Inc. (In Re: September 11th Litigation), 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 16619, *66 (2d Cir. Sept. 17, 2015). In that case, the Second Circuit concluded that a federal cause of action under the ATSSSA must look to state rules concerning prejudgment interest. Id. Accordingly, the Second Circuit held that New York's statutory prejudgment interest rate of nine percent as opposed to a lower rate crafted under federal law, had to be applied to the plaintiffs' 9/11 claims. Id.

However, more recently, in *Hoglan*, Magistrate Judge Netburn recommended that the 4.96 percent interest rate for prejudgment interest should be applied to all of the solatium claims. ECF No. 3363 at 28-29. Judge Daniels adopted Judge Netburn's *Hoglan* Report in its entirety and applied the interest rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually to all of the claims. ECF No. 3384 at 6.

In light of the Court's decision in the *Hoglan* matter, applying the 4.96 percent rate to prejudgment interest, Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi respectfully requests that the clerk be directed to award prejudgment interest at the rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually, running from September 11, 2001 until the date of the judgment.

III. Conclusion

For all of the reasons herein, as well as those set forth in the submissions of the other

plaintiffs in this case and plaintiffs in the other 9/11 related cases in the In re Terrorist Attacks on

September 11, 2001 multidistrict litigation, Plaintiff Lenore Raimondi, as the Personal

Representative of the Estate of Peter F. Raimondi, respectfully requests that this Court award pain

and suffering damages in the amount of \$2,000,000 and economic damages in the amounts set

forth in Dr. Smith's report and set forth on Exhibit A to the Eubanks Declaration. Plaintiff Lenore

Raimondi also respectfully requests that the Court: (1) award prejudgment interest at the rate of

4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually for the period from September 11, 2001 until the

date of the judgment; (2) grant permission for her to seek punitive damages or other appropriate

damages at a later date; and (3) grant permission for all similarly situated Plaintiffs to submit

applications for damages awards in later stages, to the extent such awards have not previously been

addressed.

Dated: June 26, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

MOTLEY RICE LLC

/S/ John M. Eubanks_

John M. Eubanks, Esq. Jodi Westbrook Flowers, Esq.

Robert T. Haefele, Esq.

Jade Haileselassie, Esq.

28 Bridgeside Boulevard

Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Tel: (843) 216-9000

Fax: (843) 216-9450

Email: jeubanks@motleyrice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

10